
Reviewer's Evaluation Form

First author of manuscript: _____

Title of manuscript: _____

Evaluation:

A. Overall, how do you rate the paper?

___ Outstanding ___ Very Good ___ Good ___ Fair ___ Poor

B. What decision do you recommend?

___ Publish

___ Publish after minor revision

___ Return to author(s) for major revision and resubmission

___ Reject (provide justification)

C. Is this manuscript so timely that it should be given priority for publication?

___ Yes ___ No

If so, why? _____

Reviewer: _____ Date: _____

Name (print or type)

(See Reviewer's Evaluation Guidelines below.)

Reviewer's Evaluation Guidelines

The following questions are provided as guidelines for reviewers of JOURNAL AWWA manuscripts. **Please provide unsigned detailed comments on a separate sheet of paper** so that your review can be forwarded to the author(s). Any confidential remarks for the editor should be included in a cover letter.

1. Suitability of subject matter for readership
 - A. Is this manuscript appropriate for the drinking water industry?
 - B. Is this a new and original contribution?
2. Technical soundness
 - A. Is this manuscript technically sound?
 - B. Is the mathematical development complete and accurate?
 - C. Are the methods adequately described so that the work could be reproduced by the reader?
 - D. Are the interpretations and conclusions justified by the data?
3. Style and organization
 - A. Does the title accurately reflect the manuscript's content?
 - B. Is the abstract concise and informative?
 - C. Is the manuscript well written and well organized?
 - D. Are the level and style of presentation consistent with the needs of the readership?
4. Length
 - A. Does the manuscript's content warrant its length?
 - B. Does the manuscript's length conform to the Journal's guideline of no more than 20 to 25 pages of double-spaced typescript? If not, please indicate in your written comments which sections should be deleted.
 - C. Does the total number of tables and figures conform to the Journal's guideline of no more than 15? If not, please indicate in your written comments which ones should be eliminated.
 - D. Are the same data presented in both tables and figures? If so, could these data be presented just as effectively in only one of these formats? Which format would be most effective? Can the presentation of data be more concise?
 - E. Should the manuscript's background section or literature review be condensed or eliminated?
 - F. Are the references adequate and are they all necessary?
 - G. Are additional references needed?
5. Prior publication (if applicable)
 - A. Are you aware of this work having been published anywhere else?
 - B. If yes, where?